1	LAW OFFICES OF RONALD A. MA RONALD A. MARRON (SBN 175650)	RRON, APLC						
2	ron@consumersadvocates.com							
	SKYE RESENDES (SBN 278511)							
3	skye@consumersadvocates.com							
4	ALEXIS WOOD (SBN 270200) alexis@consumersadvocates.com							
5	3636 4 th Avenue, Suite 202							
6	San Diego, California 92103							
6	Telephone:(619) 696-9006							
7	Facsimile: (619) 564-6665							
8	LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS J. CA	AMPION						
9	DOUGLAS J. CAMPION, ESQ. (SBN 7	75381)						
	409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 303							
10	San Diego, California 92108 doug@djcampion.com							
11	Telephone: (619) 299-2091							
12	Facsimile: (619) 858-0034							
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class							
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT						
15	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
16	20011111							
	TRACY MAIER, on behalf of herself,	Case No.: '13CV0163 IEG DHB						
17	all others similarly situated, and the	10070100120 BIIB						
18	general public,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>						
19	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES						
20	,	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF						
	v.	PURSUANT TO THE TELEPHONE						
21	J. C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC.,	CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. §§ 227 et seq.						
22	a Delaware Corporation; J. C. PENNEY	47 0.5.C. 33 227 et sey.						
23	COMPANY, INC., a Delaware	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL						
24	Corporation,							
25	Defendants.							
26								
27								
_								
28								

///

///

INTRODUCTION

1. Tracy Maier ("Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc. and J. C. Penney Company, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants" or "JCP"), in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff through SMS or "text" messages on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff seeks up to \$1,500 in damages for each text message in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class number in the tens of thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendants, providing jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2)(A). Therefore, both elements of diversity jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because Defendants, both Delaware corporations, are, and at all times herein mentioned were, doing business in the County of San Diego, State of California.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Tracy Maier is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the State of Pennsylvania. She is, and at all times mentioned herein was a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (32).
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc. is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation, and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (32).
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc. maintains its principal place of business at 6501 Legacy Drive, Plano, Texas 75024-3698, and is registered to do business in California.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant J. C. Penney Company, Inc. is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation, and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (32).
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant J. C. Penney Company, Inc. maintains its principal place of business at 6501 Legacy Drive, Plano, Texas 75024-3698, and is registered to do business in California.
- 9. Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendants conducted business in the state of California and in the County of San Diego, and within this judicial district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. One of the newest types of bulk marketing is to advertise through Short Message Services. The term "Short Message Service" or "SMS" is a messaging system that allows cellular telephone subscribers to use their cellular telephones to send and receive short text messages, usually limited to 160 characters.
- 11. An "SMS message" is a text message call directed to a wireless device through the use of the telephone number assigned to the device. When an SMS or

"text" message call is successfully made, the recipient's cell phone rings or otherwise notes the receipt of the text message, alerting him or her that a call is being received. As cellular telephones are inherently mobile and are frequently carried on their owner's person, calls to cellular telephones, including SMS messages, may be received by the called party virtually anywhere worldwide.

- 12. Unlike more conventional advertisements, such text messages actually costs their recipients money, because cell phone users must frequently pay their wireless service providers either for each text message call they receive or incur a usage allocation deduction to their text plan, regardless of whether or not the message is authorized.
- 13. Over the course of an extended period beginning no later than in 2012, Defendants and their agents directed the mass transmission of text messages to the cell phones nationwide of what they hoped were customers or potential customers of JCP.
- 14. On June 16, 2012, Plaintiff Tracy Maier received an unsolicited SMS or "text" message to her wireless phone, for which Plaintiff provided no consent to receive the text messages, in an effort to promote Defendants' retail store, J. C. Penney.
- 15. Specifically, on June 16, 2012, Plaintiff Tracy Maier received the following text message from 527-365:

jcp: Reply YES now to be

first to know about our

best prices, special store

events & new things

happening at jcp. Dtls

http://bit.ly/yALZuq

Msg&DataRatesApply

- 16. This unsolicited text message placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone was placed via an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1), is prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A), which had the capacity to store or produce and dial numbers randomly or sequentially, to place telephone calls and/or SMS or text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 17. The telephone numbers that Defendants, or their agents, called and/or sent the text messages to were assigned to cellular telephone services for which Plaintiff incurred a charge for incoming calls or text messages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 18. These telephone text messages constituted "calls" under the TCPA that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- 19. Plaintiff did not provide Defendants or their agents prior express consent to receive unsolicited text messages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 20. These telephone confirmatory text messages by Defendants or their agents violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 21. These text messages were telephone "calls" under the TCPA that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- 22. These text messages by Defendants or their agents therefore violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("the Class").
- 24. Plaintiff represents, and are members of the Class, consisting of all persons within the United States who received any unsolicited SMS or text messages from Defendants or their agents on their paging service, cellular phone service, mobile radio service, radio common carrier service, or other service for which they were charged for the SMS or text messages, through the use of any

automatic telephone dialing system as set forth in 47 U.S.C. Section 227(B)(1)(A)(3) or artificial or prerecorded voice, which SMS or text messages by Defendants or their agents were not made for emergency purposes or with the recipients' prior express consent, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

- 25. Defendants and their employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believe the Class members number in the hundreds of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 26. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendants in at least the following ways: Defendants, either directly or through their agents, illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones by using unsolicited SMS or text messages, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 27. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 28. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendants' records or Defendants' agents' records.

- 29. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants or their agents placed cellular telephone SMS or text messages without the recipients' prior express consent (other than SMS or text messages made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
 - c. Whether Defendants and their agents should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 30. As a person who received at least one unsolicited telephone SMS or text message without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- 31. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendants' unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendants will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to individually seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.

- 32. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendants to comply with federal law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendants is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 33. Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 35. Each such text message call was made using equipment that, upon information and belief, had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator. By using such equipment, Defendants were able to effectively send thousands of text messages simultaneously to lists of thousands of wireless phone numbers of consumers without human intervention. These text messages were made en masse through the use of a short code and without the prior express consent of the Plaintiff and the other members of the Class to receive such text messages.
- 36. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants and their agents constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but

not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

- 37. As a result of Defendants', and Defendants' agents', negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 38. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEO.

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs 1 through 33 inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 40. Each such text message call was made using equipment that, upon information and belief, had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator. By using such equipment, Defendants were able to effectively send thousands of text messages simultaneously to lists of thousands of wireless phone numbers of consumers without human intervention. These text messages were made en masse through the use of a short code and without the prior express consent of the Plaintiff and the other members of the Class to receive such text messages.
- 41. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

42. As a result of Defendants' knowing and/or willful violations of 4
U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to treble damages, a
provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 4
U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

43. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and the Class members the following relief against Defendants:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 44. As a result of Defendant's, and Defendants' agents', negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for herself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 45. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
 - 46. Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 47. As a result of Defendants', and Defendants' agents' willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for herself and each Class member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 48. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper. 49. 1 **JURY DEMAND** 2 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable. 3 4 5 January 18, 2013 Dated: /s/ Ronald A. Marron By: Ronald A. Marron 6 LAW OFFICES OF RONALD A. 7 MARRON, APLC RONALD A. MARRON 8 SKYE RESENDES 9 **ALEXIS WOOD** 3636 4th Avenue, Suite 202 10 San Diego, California 92103 11 Telephone: (619) 696-9006 12 Facsimile: (619) 564-6665 13 LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS J. **CAMPION** 14 DOUGLAS J. CAMPION 15 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 303 San Diego, California 92108 16 doug@djcampion.com 17 Telephone: (619) 299-2091 Facsimile: (619) 858-0034 18 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed 20 Class 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 11

Case 3:13-cv-00163-IEG-DHBL Decyment 1-Filed 01/21/13 Page 12 of 12

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

are ervir doester briefer (bbb inte	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	01 111101 01011.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS TRACY MAIER, on behal	a) PLAINTIFFS ACY MAIER, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated					DEFENDANTS J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc., a Delaware corporation; J. C. Penney Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation			
•	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Law Offices of Ronald A. 3636 Fourth Ave., Suite 2 (619) 696-9006		Attorneys (If Known) '13 CV0163 IEG DHB							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N			(For Diversity Cases Only,		Incorporated or Pri	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	o of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	1 2 1 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	•		
IV. NATURE OF CHIE	7			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	NKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Y 🗖 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appo	eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		
 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans 	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Slander Personal Injury 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Perso				RTY RIGHTS yrights nt lemark	□ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit		
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Other □ 370 Truth □ 360 Other Personal □ 360 Other Personal □ 385 Proper	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 7385 Property Damage Product Liability	72	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 861 HIA ☐ 862 Blac	k Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIO □ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Ot □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	her	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration	□ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS- 26 U	AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff befendant) —Third Party USC 7609	□ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	n "X" in One Box Only)	Confinement		Actions	nsferred from	□ 6 Multidistr	ict		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	n 227 - Telephone	re filing (pened (spec Do not cite jurisdictional s ner Protection Act	cify) statutes unless d				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	N D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 01/18/2013		signature of at		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		